



**INDIAN LAW REPORTS
KERALA SERIES**

INDEX TO I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala – Parts I & II

NOMINAL INDEX

	Pages
Ajinees K. v. State of Kerala	.. 96
Bhanunni A.C. @ Valluvanattukara Vallabha Valiya Raj v. Commissioner, HR & CE Department, Kozhikode	.. 43
National Aviation Company of India Ltd. v. Abdul Salam	.. 4
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C.	.. 17
Popular Motor Corporation v. State of Kerala	.. 74
Saju K.A. v. State of Kerala	.. 88
Secretary, Paippad Grama Panchayath v. Tessa P. Das	.. 1
Souda Beevi v. S.I. of Police, Pallickal Police Station	.. 79
Sree Ramananda Asram v. Asokan P.	.. 98

INDEX TO JOURNALS, NOTIFICATIONS and SPEECHES

Notifications

Kerala Co-operative Societies Employees Self Financing Pension (Amendment) Scheme, 2011	.. i - ii
Procedure to be followed for selection of candidates for appointment as Principal Counsellor in the Family Court in the State	.. iii - vi

INDEX TO ACTS AND RULES (Central & Kerala)

ACTS

Central

1860—Act 45 of 1860—Penal Code		
Section 420	See ..	88
1908—Act 5 of 1908—Code of Civil Procedure		
Section 92	See ..	98
Section 92 and Order 1 Rule 10	See ..	98
1969—Act 18 of 1969—Registration of Births and Deaths Act		
Section 7(2)	See ..	1
1972—Act 69 of 1972—Carriage by Air Act		
Schedule III, Rule 21(1)	See ..	4
1973—Act 2 of 1974—Code of Criminal Procedure		
Sections 4 and 188	See ..	79
Section 438	See ..	79, 88
Section 438	See ..	96
Section 438	See ..	17
1988—Act 59 of 1988—Motor Vehicles Act		
Section 163A	See ..	17
Section 163A(1)	See ..	17
Section 163A and Second Schedule	See ..	17
Sections 163A and 166	See ..	17
1978—Act 20 of 1980—Headlod Workers Act		
Section 2(m)	See ..	74

Madras

1951—Act 19 of 1951—Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act		
Sections 21A and 24	See ..	43
Section 45	See ..	43

SUBJECT INDEX

Carriage by Air Act, 1972 (Central Act 69 of 1972)—Schedule III, Rule 21(1)—
Liability of the Carrier to pay damages for loss suffered on account of death or injury of a passenger in an air accident is unlimited but the claimant should prove the damages suffered as in any other case of tortious liability—No minimum compensation is payable by the carrier under the Act or Rules.

- National Aviation Company of India Ltd. v. Abdul Salam I.L.R. 2011(4) Kerala. . 4
- Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)**—*Section 92—Procedure to be followed by the court when all or the surviving plaintiff opted out of the suit or died and no other person having an interest in the trust has come forward to continue with the suit.*
- Sree Ramananda Asram v. Asokan P. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . 98
- Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (Central Act 5 of 1908)**—*Section 92 and Order 1 Rule 10—History of the provision traced—Leave of the court is required only for validly instituting the suit—Once the suit is validly instituted, it is a suit brought by and on behalf of the public who have an interest in the trust though all of them do not actually join in the plaint—The question of abatement or failure of the suit does not arise for the reason that the other plaintiff died or opted out of the suit—Any person who has interest in the trust can come on record as additional plaintiff and for that he is not required to obtain leave of court under Section 92.*
- Sree Ramananda Asram v. Asokan P. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . 98
- Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)**—*Sections 4 and 188—The investigation of an offence punishable under the Indian Penal Code and alleged to have been committed outside India by an Indian Citizen does not require sanction of the Central Government.*
- Souda Beevi v. S.I. of Police, Pallickal Police Station I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . 79
- Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)**—*Section 438—A person who is not in India or who does not intend to visit India soon cannot remain abroad and move an application for anticipatory bail before a Court in India.*
- Souda Beevi v. S.I. of Police, Pallickal Police Station I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala. . 79
- Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)**—*Section 438—Crime registered against Directors of a Construction Company, on the basis of complaints alleging breach of trust and cheating filed by the customers—Managing Director in his the bail application, offering to complete the work of the building projects and requesting the court to monitor the progress—The court dealing with bail applications cannot be expected to monitor the activities in the matter of constructions of the different projects.*
- Saju K.A. v. State of Kerala I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . 88
- Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974)**—*Section 438—Obstruction and violence by accused on the ground that the political party to*

which the accused belong had declared hartal on the day—Persons who are not interested in honouring the constitutional rights of fellow citizens, are not entitled to aspire for any discretionary relief under Section 438 Cr. P.C.

Ajinees K. v. State of Kerala I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 96

Constitution of India—Article 300A—Hereditary Trusteeship constitutes property, eligible for constitutional protection.

Bhanunni A.C. @ Valluvanattukara Vallabha Valiya Raja v. Commissioner, HR & CE Department, Kozhikode I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 43

Headload Workers Act, 1978 (Kerala Act 20 of 1980)—Section 2(m)—Headload worker—Unloading of two wheelers and three wheelers by driving it down the ramp, is not unloading work coming within purview of the Act—Headload workers cannot insist that they alone can do this work—Such work can be undertaken by permanent workers of the employer.

Popular Motor Corporation v. State of Kerala I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 74

Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1951 (Madras Act 19 of 1951)—Sections 21A and 24—Hereditary Trustees of temples covered by the Act have considerable liberty with regard to the administration of the temple—As long as the hereditary trustee administer the temple as a man of ordinary prudence and utilizes the funds with due care and caution, the Devaswom Board or its officers have no right to interfere in the affairs of the temple—Provisions of the Act do not confer any unfettered power on the Board or its officers to meddle with the affairs of temples.

Bhanunni A.C. @ Valluvanattukara Vallabha Valiya Raja v. Commissioner, HR & CE Department, Kozhikode I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 43

Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1951 (Madras Act 19 of 1951)—Section 45—The power conferred under Section 45 being drastic in nature, has to be exercised in a reasonable manner and only in appropriate cases.

Bhanunni A.C. @ Valluvanattukara Vallabha Valiya Raja v. Commissioner, HR & CE Department, Kozhikode I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 43

Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)—Section 163A—The scheme of Section 163A clearly shows that the very purpose of its introduction was to avoid contentious issues so that the process of adjudication can be quickened.

National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17

- Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)**—*Section 163A—The non obstante clause in Section 163A makes it clear that the liability has to be understood ignoring the provisions of all other laws including the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act—The plain language of Section 163A appears to take in all victims of motor accident whether they are inside or outside the vehicle and does not apply only to third parties.*
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17
- Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)**—*Section 163A—Second Schedule—Compensation payable to persons whose income falls between the 2 entries specified in the Second Schedule—Explained.*
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17
- Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)**—*Sections 163A and 166—Under Section 166 of the Act negligence continues to be the foundation of liability—However negligence is irrelevant and need not be proved in a claim under Section 163A of the Act.*
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17
- Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)**—*Sections 163A and 166—In a claim under Section 163A negligence is irrelevant, consequently contributory negligence must also be held to be absolutely irrelevant—Any legal representative can sustain a claim under Section 166, while only the legal heirs of the victim can sustain the claim under Section 163A.*
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17
- Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)**—*Sections 163A and 166—A claimant must decide whether he wants to stake the claim under Section 163A or Section 166 of Motor Vehicles Act—A claimant can claim a higher amount under Section 166 of Motor Vehicles Act in which event he will have to establish negligence and the actual loss—When it comes to the claim under Section 163A of the M.V. Act, the claimant will be entitled to the fixed amount in accordance with the Second Schedule alone, whatever be the actual loss.*
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17
- Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)**—*Section 163A(1)—The expression ‘compensation’ in the body of Section 163A(1) can only mean ‘amount’—It is impermissible to import the concept of actual loss suffered by the claimant merely from the use of the expression ‘compensation’ in Section 163A.*
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17

- Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)**—*Sections 163A, 166 and Second Schedule—The scheme of 163A would be lost if there is no valid Second Schedule—In a claim under Section 166, the Second Schedule can be used as a guide—Compensation in the case of death can be computed under Section 163A only by reference to the table/chart and not by pressing into service the multiplier/multiplicand method.*
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17
- Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (Central Act 59 of 1988)**—*Second Schedule—Manner in which the compensation has to be computed—Explained.*
National Insurance Company Ltd. v. Chacko P.C. I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala .. 17
- Penal Code, 1860 (Central Act 45 of 1860)**—*Section 420—Construction of Flats/Buildings —Cheating of Public—Law makers urged to make laws to protect the interests of unorganized, uninformed and helpless customers who are duped after spending huge amounts for their dream home.*
Saju K.A. v. State of Kerala I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 17
- Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1969 (Central Act 18 of 1969)**—*Section 7(2)—Section 7(2) consists of two parts—The first part obliges the Registrar to enter information given to him under Section 8 or Section 9, in the register maintained for the purpose of registration of births and deaths—The second part directs that the Registrar shall also take steps to inform himself carefully of every birth and of every death which takes place in his jurisdiction—The operation of the former limb of the section is not restricted to the area within the jurisdiction of the Registrar.*
Secretary, Paippad Grama Panchayath v. Tessy P. Das I.L.R. 2011 (4) Kerala . . . 1

* * *